

Singular perturbation method for the stability analysis of coupled systems

Swann Marx (LS2N)

Joint work with Gonzalo Arías, Eduardo Cerpa (UC Chile)
and Guilherme Mazanti (INRIA Saclay)

CANUM 2024

Systems with different time-scales

- Many natural phenomena feature interaction of processes on different **times scales**. Electro-mechanical systems are one of the several examples of interest.
- A lot of difficulties appear. For instance, huge cost in numerical simulations since the fastest time scale sub-system must be fully solved over a timespan of the slowest scales' order.
- **Desirable** : we want instead solve a limit system, describing approximately the full behavior when some parameters (representing the scales) go to zero (or infinity)

General Question

Consider general linear finite-dimensional systems :

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \dot{y} = A_1 y + B_1 C_1 z, & \text{fast dynamics} \\ \dot{z} = A_2 z + B_2 C_2 y, & \text{slow dynamics} \\ y(0) = y_0, z(0) = z_0 \end{cases}$$

with $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and the matrices A_i, B_i, C_i of appropriate dimension. One looks for conditions on the involved matrices such that, setting $H = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$

$$\|(y, z)\|_H \leq C e^{-\mu t} \|(y_0, z_0)\|_H$$

Question

Supposing ε **small enough**, is it possible to obtain "easy" stability conditions ?

Answer : apply the singular perturbation method !

The singular perturbation principle

The singular perturbation principle consists in decoupling the coupled system into two approximated systems :

1. The **reduced order system** \simeq **slower system**
2. The **boundary layer system** \simeq **faster system**

Question

How can one compute these two systems ?

Reduced order system

Suppose that $\varepsilon = 0$ and that A_1 is invertible, then :

$$y = -A_1^{-1}B_1C_1z.$$

Plugging this equality in the second equation, we obtain

Reduced order system

$$\dot{\bar{z}} = (A_2 - B_2C_2A_1^{-1}B_1C_1)\bar{z}$$

Boundary layer system

Set $\tau = \frac{t}{\varepsilon}$ and $\tilde{y} = y + A_1^{-1}B_1C_1z$. Then,

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}\bar{y} = A_1 \underbrace{\left(y + A_1^{-1}B_1C_1z\right)}_{=\bar{y}} + \varepsilon \frac{d}{dt}A_1^{-1}B_1C_1z$$

Taking $\varepsilon = 0$, one obtains

Boundary layer system

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}\bar{y} = A_1\bar{y}.$$

Result in finite dimension

Result

There exists $\varepsilon^* > 0$ such that, for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon^*)$:

Boundary layer system stable + Reduced order system stable \Rightarrow
Full-system stable.

Such a result can be found for instance in [Kokotović, Khalil, O'Reilly, 1986]. The strategy relies on a frequency approach.

Question

What about the infinite-dimensional case ?

An interesting counter-example

Very few results exist for the infinite-dimensional setting : [Tang, Mazanti, 2017], [Cerpa, Prieur, 2020]. These results focus on **hyperbolic systems**

An interesting counter-example

Counter-example

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \dot{y}(t) = -0.1y(t) - z(1, t) \\ z_t(t, x) + z_x(t, x) = 0 \\ z(0, t) = 2z(1, t) + 0.2y(t). \end{cases}$$

The reduced order system is given by

$$\begin{cases} \bar{z}_t(t, x) + \bar{z}_x(t, x) = 0 \\ \bar{z}(0, t) = 0 \end{cases}$$

and the boundary layer system reads

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \bar{y}(\tau) = -0.1\bar{y}(\tau).$$

Both systems are **always exponentially stable**. But the full-system is not (proof based on the **method of characteristics**).

An interesting counter-example

Question

Is it still possible to obtain general results ?

Answer : yes, but under restrictive assumptions.

Abstract systems

The **semigroup framework** is adopted with H_i and U_i Hilbert spaces ($i = 1$ or 2).

Abstract systems

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \dot{y} = \mathcal{A}_1 y + \mathcal{B}_1 \mathcal{C}_1 z, \\ \dot{z} = \mathcal{A}_2 z + \mathcal{B}_2 \mathcal{C}_2 y, \\ y(0) = y_0, z(0) = z_0 \end{cases}$$

1. The operators $\mathcal{A}_i : D(\mathcal{A}_i) \subset H_i \rightarrow H_i$ generate strongly continuous semigroups $(\mathbb{T}_i(t))_{t \geq 0}$;
2. $B_i \in \mathcal{L}(U_i, D(\mathcal{A}_i^*)')$ **admissible** for $(\mathbb{T}_i(t))_{t \geq 0}$;
3. $C_1 \in \mathcal{L}(D(\mathcal{A}_2, U_2))$ (resp. $C_2 \in \mathcal{L}(D(\mathcal{A}_1, U_2))$) **admissibles**.

Remarks

The operators \mathcal{A}_i can represent partial derivatives.

Additional assumptions

The counter-example dealt with a **fast ODE** coupled with a **slow PDE**.

General results can be obtained under this assumption :

Finite-dimension assumption

The Hilbert spaces H_2 and U_2 are of finite-dimensions.

Additional assumptions

Moreover, one needs to assume that

Stability assumptions

The semigroups generated by \mathcal{A}_1 and $\mathcal{A}_2 - \mathcal{B}_2\mathcal{C}_2\mathcal{A}_1^{-1}\mathcal{B}_1\mathcal{C}_1$ are exponentially stable.

Results on abstract systems

Theorem (M., 2024)

Under the latter assumptions, there exists ε^* such that, for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon^*)$, the full-system is exponentially stable.

Unlike the finite-dimensional case, the proof of the latter result is based on the construction of **Lyapunov functional**.

Tikhonov's theorem (M., 2024)

Suppose that

$$\|y_0\|_{H_1} = O(\varepsilon), \quad \|z_0\|_{H_2} = O(\varepsilon),$$

then, there exists ε^* such that, for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon^*)$, we have $\|y(t)\|_{H_1} = O(\varepsilon)$ and $\|z(t)\|_{H_2} = O(\varepsilon)$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Sketch of the proof : ISS property

Since the semigroup generated by \mathcal{A}_1 is **exponentially stable**, there exists a symmetric and positive definite operator $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{L}(H_1)$ such that, for every

$$\langle \mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}_1 y, y \rangle_{H_1} + \langle \mathcal{P}y, \mathcal{A}_1 y \rangle_{H_1} = -\|y\|_{H_1}^2$$

Input-to-state stability (ISS) property

For every $d \in U_1$, the derivative of the **Lyapunov functional** $V = \langle \mathcal{P}y, y \rangle_{H_1}$ along the trajectories of

$$\frac{d}{dt}y = \mathcal{A}_1 y + \mathcal{B}_1 d,$$

satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(y) \leq -\alpha\|y\|_{H_1}^2 + \beta\|d\|_{U_1}^2, \quad \alpha, \beta > 0.$$

Sketch of the proof : Forwarding approach

Forwarding approach (Mazenc, Praly, 1996)

Based on the introduction of a Lyapunov functional

$$W(y, z) = \varepsilon V(y) + \|z - My\|_{H_2}^2,$$

with M defined as $M = \mathcal{B}_2 \mathcal{C}_2 \mathcal{A}_1^{-1}$ which is **bounded** (due to the boundedness assumption).

Proof

ISS property + Forwarding Lyapunov functional yield the desired result.

Explaining the counter-example

Question

What happens in the counter example ?

Lyapunov functionals cannot provide counter-examples in general. One needs rather to study the **spectrum**.

Transport Equation/ODE

System

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \dot{y} = Ay + Bz(t, 1) \\ z_t + \Lambda z_x = 0 \\ z(t, 0) = G_1 z(t, 1) + G_2 y(t) \\ y(0) = y_0, z(0, x) = z_0(x). \end{cases}$$

$y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $z(t, \cdot) \in L^p(0, 1; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and the involved matrices are of appropriate dimension.

Reduced order system

$$\begin{cases} \bar{z}_t + \Lambda \bar{z}_x = 0 \\ \bar{z}(t, 0) = (G_1 - G_2 A^{-1} B) \bar{z}(t, 1) \\ \bar{z}(0, x) = \bar{z}_0(x) \end{cases}$$

Transport Equation/ODE

System

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \dot{y} = Ay + Bz(t, 1) \\ z_t + \Lambda z_x = 0 \\ z(t, 0) = G_1 z(t, 1) + G_2 y(t) \\ y(0) = y_0, z(0, x) = z_0(x). \end{cases}$$

$y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $z(t, \cdot) \in L^p(0, 1; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and the involved matrices are of appropriate dimension.

Boundary layer system

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \bar{y}(t) = A \bar{y}(t), \quad \tau := \frac{t}{\varepsilon}$$

The uncoupled PDE

We already know that

Boundary layer system & Reduced order system stable \nRightarrow
full-system stable.

We have even more :

Proposition (Arías, M., Mazanti, 2024)

If the **uncoupled system** is unstable, meaning that

$$\begin{cases} z_t + \Lambda z_x = 0, \\ z(t, 0) = \mathbf{G}_1 z(t, 1), \end{cases}$$

is unstable, then the full-system is unstable **for all $\varepsilon > 0$**

Going back to the counter-example

Counter-example

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \dot{y}(t) = -0.1y(t) - z(1, t) \\ z_t(t, x) + z_x(t, x) = 0 \\ z(t, 0) = 2z(t, 1) + 0.2y(t). \end{cases}$$

Uncoupled system

$$\begin{cases} z_t + z_x = 0, \\ z(t, 0) = 2z(t, 1), \\ z(0, x) = z_0(x). \end{cases}$$

It is clearly **unstable**.

An approximation result

Theorem (Arias, M., Mazanti, 2024)

Suppose that

- The **uncoupled system** and the **boundary layer system** are stable.
- The **reduced order system** satisfies the **Hale-Silkowski criterion**,

then there exists ε^* such that, for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon^*)$, the full-system is stable.

Reduced order system

$$\begin{cases} \bar{z}_t + \Lambda \bar{z}_x = 0 \\ \bar{z}(t, 0) = (G_1 - G_2 A^{-1} B) \bar{z}(t, 1) \\ \bar{z}(0, x) = \bar{z}_0(x) \end{cases}$$

An approximation result

Theorem (Arias, M., Mazanti, 2024)

Suppose that

- The **uncoupled system** and the **boundary layer system** are stable.
- The **reduced order system** satisfies the **Hale-Silkowski criterion**,

then there exists ε^* such that, for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon^*)$, the full-system is stable.

Hale-Silkowski criterion

The uncoupled system satisfies this criterion if

$$\max\{\rho\left((G_1 + G_2(i\eta I_n - A)^{-1}B)\text{diag}\{\delta_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, \delta_m e^{i\theta_m}\}\right), \\ \theta_k \in \mathbb{R}, \delta_k \in [0, 1], \forall k \in \{1, \dots, m\}\} < 1,$$

for any $\eta \geq 0$.

Achievements and open problems

Achievements

1. Some extensions of the the singular perturbation method have been found ;
2. **Counter-examples** have been obtained (and explained)

Open problems

1. What about the case of **coupled PDEs** ?
2. Is this possible to obtain simpler **controllability criteria** for multi-scale systems ?

Thank you for your attention

Any question ?