Introduction Correspondence Long time well-posedness

Quantification of the error and convergence

Approximating a continuously stratified hydrostatic system by the multi-layer shallow water system

> Mahieddine Adim Under the supervision of Vincent Duchêne

> > May 28th, 2024





Goal: Establishing a bridge between multilayer and continuously stratified hydrostatic flows.

# Considered systems:

- The continuously stratified hydrostatic system (CSHS).
- The multi-layer shallow water system (MSWS).

Introduction

Correspondence Long time well-posedness

Quantification of the error and convergence  $_{\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc }$ 

Conclusior

# (CSHS+GM)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t h + \partial_x ((\underline{h} + h)(\underline{u} + u)) = \kappa \partial_x^2 h \\ \partial_t u + (\underline{u} + u - \kappa \frac{\partial_x h}{\underline{h} + h}) \partial_x u + \frac{1}{\varrho} \mathcal{M}(\partial_x h) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Where

$$\mathscr{M}(\partial_{x}h(t,x))(\varrho) = \int_{\rho_{surf}}^{\rho_{bott}} \min(\rho,\rho')\partial_{x}h(t,x,\varrho')d\varrho'.$$

(MSWS+GM)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t H_i + \partial_x ((\underline{H}_i + H_i)(\underline{U}_i + U_i)) = \kappa \partial_x^2 H_i, & \forall i \in \{1, \cdots, N\} \\ \partial_t U_i + (\underline{U}_i + U_i - \kappa \frac{\partial_x H_i}{\underline{H}_i + H_i}) \partial_x U_i + g \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{N} \frac{\min(\rho_i, \rho_j)}{\rho_i} \partial_x H_j = 0. \end{cases}$$



## (MSWS+GM)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t H_i + \partial_x ((\underline{H}_i + H_i)(\underline{U}_i + U_i)) = \kappa \partial_x^2 H_i, & \forall i \in \{1, \cdots, N\} \\ \partial_t U_i + (\underline{U}_i + U_i - \kappa \frac{\partial_x H_i}{\underline{H}_i + H_i}) \partial_x U_i + g \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{N} \frac{\min(\rho_i, \rho_j)}{\rho_i} \partial_x H_j = 0. \end{cases}$$

#### Where

- $t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- $\underline{H}_i, \underline{U}_i, \rho_i \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- $H_i$ ,  $U_i$  are the deviation of the equilibrium  $\underline{H}_i$ ,  $\underline{U}_i$ .
- g denotes the acceleration of gravity.
- The densities satisfy  $\rho_i = \rho_{surf} + \frac{i-1}{N}(\rho_{bott} \rho_{surf})$  $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ , with  $\rho_{bott} > \rho_{surf} > 0$ ,  $\rho_{bott} - \rho_{surf} = 1$ .

 Introduction
 Correspondence
 Long time well-posedness
 Quantification of the error and convergence
 Conclusion

 ○○●
 ○
 ○○
 ○○
 ○○
 ○○

#### Remarks

# • Euler.eq+ $\partial_z P + g\rho = 0$ +Isopycnal coordinates $\implies$ (CSHS) Hyd.app

- The  $\kappa$  terms are motivated by the work of the oceanographers Gent & McWilliams on isopycnal mixing and eddy diffusivity (in the 90's), and which could be interpreted as turbulence terms. Moreover the adding of this diffusive  $\kappa$  term in the first equation has a regularizing effect.
- The system (**CSHS+GM**) is well-posed in Sobolev spaces on the time interval [0, T] with  $T^{-1} = C(1 + \kappa^{-1}(|\underline{u}'|_{L_{\rho}^{2}}^{2} + M_{0}^{2}))$ , where  $M_{0}$  is the size of the initial data, and C depends only on  $M_{0}$  and the size of the equilibrium ( $\underline{\rho}, \underline{u}$ ). [Duchêne & Bianchini '22].



#### Remarks

- Euler.eq+ $\partial_z P + g\rho = 0$ +Isopycnal coordinates  $\implies$  (CSHS) Hyd.app
- The  $\kappa$  terms are motivated by the work of the oceanographers Gent & McWilliams on isopycnal mixing and eddy diffusivity (in the 90's), and which could be interpreted as turbulence terms. Moreover the adding of this diffusive  $\kappa$  term in the first equation has a regularizing effect.
- The system (**CSHS+GM**) is well-posed in Sobolev spaces on the time interval [0, T] with  $T^{-1} = C(1 + \kappa^{-1}(|\underline{u}'|_{L_{\rho}^{2}}^{2} + M_{0}^{2}))$ , where  $M_{0}$  is the size of the initial data, and C depends only on  $M_{0}$  and the size of the equilibrium ( $\underline{\rho}, \underline{u}$ ). [Duchêne & Bianchini '22].

Introduction OOO Correspondence Long time well-posedness Quantification of the error and convergence Conclusion

#### Remarks

- Euler.eq+ $\partial_z P + g\rho = 0$ +Isopycnal coordinates  $\implies$  (CSHS) Hyd.app
- The  $\kappa$  terms are motivated by the work of the oceanographers Gent & McWilliams on isopycnal mixing and eddy diffusivity (in the 90's), and which could be interpreted as turbulence terms. Moreover the adding of this diffusive  $\kappa$  term in the first equation has a regularizing effect.
- The system (**CSHS+GM**) is well-posed in Sobolev spaces on the time interval [0, T] with  $T^{-1} = C(1 + \kappa^{-1}(|\underline{u}'|^2_{L^2_{\varrho}} + M^2_0))$ , where  $M_0$  is the size of the initial data, and C depends only on  $M_0$  and the size of the equilibrium  $(\underline{\rho}, \underline{u})$ . [Duchêne & Bianchini '22].

### The continuous case

 $\mathcal{M}f($ 

### The discrete case

$$\varrho$$
  $\boldsymbol{\rho} = (\boldsymbol{\rho}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\rho}_N)^t$ 

$$h \qquad (H_i)_{i=1,\cdots,N} = (h(\rho_i))_{i=1,\cdots,N}$$

$$u \qquad (U_i)_{i=1,\cdots,N} = (u(\boldsymbol{\rho}_i))_{i=1,\cdots,N}$$

$$\int_{\varrho}^{\rho_{bott}} f \ d\varrho' \qquad (SF)_i = \sum_{j=i}^{N} (\rho_j - \rho_{j-1}) \ F_{j-1}$$
$$\partial_{\varrho} f \qquad (D_{\rho}F)_i = \frac{1}{\rho_i - \rho_{i+1}} (F_i - F_{i+1})$$
$$\varrho) = \int_{\rho_{surf}}^{\rho_{bott}} \min(\varrho, \rho') f(\varrho') d\varrho' \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\min(\rho_i, \rho_j)}{N} F_j$$

### Main result

# (MSWS+GM)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t H_i + \partial_x ((\underline{H}_i + H_i)(\underline{U}_i + U_i)) = \kappa \partial_x^2 H_i, & \forall i \in \{1, \cdots, N\} \\ \partial_t U_i + (\underline{U}_i + U_i - \kappa \frac{\partial_x H_i}{\underline{H}_i + H_i}) \partial_x U_i + g \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{N} \frac{\min(\rho_i, \rho_j)}{\rho_i} \partial_x H_j = 0. \end{cases}$$

## [MA '23]

Let  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $s > 2 + \frac{1}{2}$ , there exists C > 0 such that for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and any  $\kappa > 0$ , for any initial data  $(H_0, U_0) \in H^{s,2}$ . satisfying natural assumptions and with  $M_0 := |||(H_0, U_0)|||_s$ , the following holds. Denoting

$$T^{-1} = C \left( 1 + \kappa^{-1} \left( \| \mathsf{D}_{\rho} \underline{U} \|_{l^2}^2 + M_0^2 \right) \right),$$

there exists a unique strong solution (H, U) to (MSWS+GM)with initial data  $(H, U)|_{t=0} = (H_0, U_0)$ . and one has, for any  $t \in [0, T]$  the estimate  $|||(H, U)(t, \cdot)|||_s \leq CM_0$ .

Introduction Correspondent

Correspondence Long time well-posedness

Quantification of the error and convergence

#### Remarks

• We have

$$\begin{split} ||(H, U)|||_{s} &= \sum_{j=0}^{1} \| \mathsf{D}_{\rho}^{j} H \|_{H_{x}^{s-j}} + \sum_{j=0}^{2} \| \mathsf{D}_{\rho}^{j} \mathsf{S} H \|_{H_{x}^{s-j}} \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{2} \| \mathsf{D}_{\rho}^{j} U \|_{H_{x}^{s-j}} + \| \mathsf{T} \mathsf{S} H \|_{H_{x}^{s}}. \end{split}$$

- The time of existence is independent of the number of layers *N*.
- There is an obvious similarity between the time  $T^{-1} = C \left( 1 + \kappa^{-1} \left( \| D_{\rho} \underline{U} \|_{l^{2}}^{2} + M_{0}^{2} \right) \right) \text{ obtained in the}$ previous Theorem and the one of the WP of (CSHS+GM).

IntroductionCorrespondence<br/>oLong time well-posedness<br/>ooQuantification of the error and convergence<br/>ooConclusion<br/>o

# (MSWS+GM)

4

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t H_i + \partial_x ((\underline{H}_i + H_i)(\underline{U}_i + U_i)) = \kappa \partial_x^2 H_i, & \forall i \in \{1, \cdots, N\} \\ \partial_t U_i + (\underline{U}_i + U_i - \kappa \frac{\partial_x H_i}{\underline{H}_i + H_i}) \partial_x U_i + g \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{N} \frac{\min(\rho_i, \rho_j)}{\rho_i} \partial_x H_j = 0. \end{cases}$$

# Tools of the proof

- The small time existence and uniqueness part of the proof relies on existence and uniqueness theorems of transport and transport diffusion equations with their corresponding estimates.
- The long time existence and uniqueness is based on the energy method. Using our correspondence (Dictionary) the estimates derive naturally from the the estimates found in the continuous case [Duchêne & Bianchini '22].

Introduction Correspondence Long time well-posedness

Quantification of the error and convergence  $\bullet \circ \circ$ 

# Question: What happens when the number of layers N tends to infinity?

# [MA '23]

Introduction Correspondence Long time well-posedness

Let  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $s > 2 + \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $\kappa > 0$ . Moreover, consider regular and controlled  $(\underline{h}, \underline{u})$  on  $(\rho_{surf}, \rho_{bott})$ , and (h, u) a strong sufficiently regular solution to (CSHS+GM) on a time interval [0, T] with T > 0, satisfying natural assumptions.

Quantification of the error and convergence

Then there exists  $N_0(T, \kappa) \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that for all  $N \ge N_0$  and any initial data  $(H_0, U_0) = (P_N(h_0), P_N(u_0)) \in H^s(\mathbb{R})^{2N}$  the solution to  $(\mathsf{MSWS+GM})$  with  $\underline{H} = P_N(\underline{h}), \underline{U} = P_N(\underline{u})$  and satisfying  $(H, U)_{t=0} = (H_0, U_0)$  defined in the previous Theorem is well-defined on the time interval [0, T] and satisfies for any  $t \in [0, T]$ 

$$|||(H - P_N h, U - P_N u)|||_{\mathfrak{s}}(t) = \mathscr{O}_{\kappa, T}\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{N}} : \mathscr{C}[\rho_{\mathsf{surf}}, \rho_{\mathsf{bott}}] \to \mathbb{R}^{\mathsf{N}} \\ & f \mapsto \mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{N}}(f) = (f(\rho_i))_{1 \leq i \leq \mathsf{N}}. \end{aligned}$$

Introduction Correspondence Conclusion of the error and convergence of

# Tools of the proof:

- Consistency
  - A careful and precise analysis is done to obtain the rate  $\frac{1}{N^2}$ .
  - The same result can be obtained for other choices of the operator  $P_N$  for instance  $(P_N(f))_i = \frac{1}{\rho_{i+1} \rho_i} \int_{\rho_i}^{\rho_{i+1}} f(\rho) d\rho$  but we may loose will lose the following property  $P_N(fg) = P_N(f)P_N(g)$ .
- Stability estimates
  - When we consider the system of the difference between the solutions we obtain the same structure of equations as in the continuous case.
  - The estimates of this difference derive naturally from the estimates found in the continuous case [Duchêne & Bianchini '22].



## Conclusion:

We rigorously justified the (MSWS+GM) as an approximation of the (CSHS+GM) when the number of layers *N* tends to infinity.

## The "inverse" limit:

The convergence of (**CSHS+GM**) to (**MSWS+GM**) when we consider a continuous density that converges to a piecewise continuous density [Duchêne, Bianchini, Adim](2024)(ArXiv preprint).

# Thank you for your attention